UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
SAS GROUP, INC., a New York corporation,))
Plaintiff,) Civil Action No. 07-CIV-10262 (SCR/GAY)
-against-) INITIAL JOINT STATUS REPORT
CHEMPLEX AUTOMOTIVE GROUP, INC., a California corporation,)))
Defendant.))

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(f) and Hon. Judge Stephen Robinson's Order of November 20, 2007, the parties to the above-captioned proceeding, Plaintiff, SAS GROUP, INC. ("SAS" or "Plaintiff"), and Defendant, CHEMPLEX AUTOMOTIVE GROUP, INC. ("Chemplex" or "Defendant"), by their undersigned counsel submit this Joint Initial Status Report:

1. Complaint, Answer and Counterclaims.

Plaintiff's Complaint alleges a cause of action for declaratory judgment. Plaintiff alleges that its trademark and trade dress for a line of automotive gas additive products do not infringe upon the trademarks or trade dress of a line of automotive gas additive products manufactured by Chemplex. Plaintiff filed its Complaint for declaratory judgment on November 9, 2007, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§2201 and 2202.

Pursuant to Stipulation and Court Order, Defendant filed an Amended Answer and Counterclaims on March 31, 2008. Defendant in its Amended Answer and Counterclaims asserts affirmative defenses of failure to state a claim and unclean hands. Defendant also asserts counterclaims for copyright infringement, false designation of origin under the Lanham Act, and unfair competition under New York statutory law (GBL §349) and New York common law.

2. **Jurisdiction**:

Plaintiff asserts jurisdiction is appropriate in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1331 and 1338, as this action arises under Federal Trademark Law.

Plaintiff asserts jurisdiction is appropriate with regard to its action for a declaratory judgment under 28 U.S.C. §§2201 and 2202 as there allegedly exists a real, actual and justiciable controversy between the parties.

Defendant asserts jurisdiction is appropriate with respect to its Counterclaims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§1331 and 1338.

The parties assert venue is appropriate in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391(a) because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the Plaintiff's claims occurred in this judicial district and SAS, which is headquartered in Westchester County, does business within this judicial district.

3. <u>Contemplated Motions.</u>

Plaintiff has moved to dismiss Defendant's Counterclaims under Fed.R.Civ.P. 12(b)(6). Plaintiff filed such motion to dismiss on May 9, 2008. Defendant has until June 17, 2008 by which to serve opposition to Plaintiff's motion, and Plaintiff has until July 1, 2008 by which to serve its reply.

4. <u>Settlement Discussions.</u>

There have been settlement discussions between the parties, but, as of this date, the parties have been unable to resolve this dispute.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 2008.

MOSES & SINGER LLP

By: WHILIPPE ZIMMER Philippe Zimmerman (PZ-7744

> Julie Stark (JS-8925) 405 Lexington Avenue

New York, New York 10174

Tel. (212) 554-7800 Fax (212) 554-7700

and

KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP

Jeffrey L. Van Hoosear (admitted pro hac vice) Lynda J. Zadra-Symes (admitted pro hac vice)

Jared C. Bunker (admitted pro hac vice)

2040 Main Street Fourteenth Floor Irvine, CA 92614

Phone: (949) 760-0404 Facsimile: (949) 760-9502

> Attorneys for Defendant CHEMPLEX AUTOMOTIVE GROUP, INC.

HARRINGTON, OCKO & MONK, LLP

Kevin J. Harrington (KH-5027)

John T.A. Rosenthal (JT-4819)

81 Main Street, Ste. 215

White Plains, New York 10601

Tel. (914) 686-4800 Fax (914) 686-4824 Attorneys for Plaintiff SAS GROUP, INC.